My Dugga-id is:

Dugga 2, TBMT19/37, 2015-02-06

3 questions, with 3 points each. 7 points needed to pass. You can answer in Swedish and write on both sides of the paper. Don't forget to write name and personal number on all papers you hand in. If you want to know your results from the public results list: also write and remember your Dugga-id.

1 Model formulation

Consider the following model:

$d/dt([glc]) = u1 + k1b*[Pyr] – k1f*[glc]$

$d/dt([Pyr]) = k1f*[glc] – k1b*[Pyr] - kout*[Pyr]$

$[glc](0) = 0.5, [Pyr](0) = 1. k1f= 2, k1b = 2.5, kout = 3.$

$yhat(t,p) = ky*[glc] + ky2$ $ky=4, ky2 = 5$

   a)   Which are the reactions? (or alternatively: What is the interaction graph?)
   b)   What are the new equations if the kout-reaction is changed into a Michaelis-Menten expression? Give values to any new parameters that may be introduced.
   c)   How could you describe the yhat-equation in words; what does it mean?

2. Statistical tests

a) Name at least one benefit of testing a model with respect to independent validation data.

b) What is the null-hypothesis of a whiteness test? What do you conclude if it is not rejected?

c) What do you conclude if you reject a likelihood ratio test?

3. Closing the loop

a) You have a well-determined prediction in a model M1, the concentration of A at t=20 lies in the range 3.5-4.5. The same prediction A(20) for another model M2 is A(20) = 20, but we do not yet know the uncertainty. Is this a reason to measure A at time t=20? Do we know that the experiment will give us something? Motivate your answer.

b) Does the situation in A improve if we also know the uncertainty of the model M2? Can we then guarantee to conclude something more from the experiment? If you answer yes, give a scenario when this is the case.

c) For model M1, you have another prediction, of the reaction rate v2, at time point t=20. This prediction, however, is very uncertain, more than that of many other predictions. How could that uncertainty be a reason to measure this rate at this time point?

Good luck! ☺