

Dugga B 2019

TBMT37 / TBMT19

Please, write your Dugga-ID on all pages and your answers in Swedish or English. You need 12/15 points to pass.

Good luck! /Elin & Gunnar

1 Model formulation

Consider the following model

$$\begin{array}{lll} \dot{[A]} = -k_1[A] & [A](0) = 1 & \hat{y} = k_y[A] \\ \dot{[B]} = -k_2[B] + k_1[A] & [B](0) = 0 & \end{array}$$

- (a) List all model states! (1 point)
- (b) List all model parameters! (1 point)
- (c) What can be measured? Explain in words. (1 point)

2 Model simulation and cost function

Consider the following model

$$\dot{[A]} = -k_1[A] \quad [A](0) = 4 \quad k_1 = 3$$

- (a) Calculate $[A](0.1)$ with one step of Euler forward. (1 point)
- (b) What happens with $[A]$ as time evolves? (1 point)
- (c) What are the inputs and outputs of a cost function? (1 point)

3 Model formulation

Consider the following reactions



- (a) Write down the differential equations that corresponds to these reactions. Assume mass action kinetics for reaction 2-4 and assume that reaction 1 has a saturation with respect to the concentration of A. Introduce parameters and initial conditions with values of your choice. (2 points)
- (b) Add a measurement equation. You can measure something that is proportional to the sum of the concentration of A and C. (1 point)

4 Statistical tests

- (a) Formulate the null hypothesis underlying a whiteness test (1 point)
- (b) What do you conclude when you reject the null hypothesis in a χ^2 -test? (1 point)
- (c) What do you conclude when you cannot reject a likelihood ratio test? (1 point)

5 Predictions and experimental design

A core prediction from a model structure/hypothesis has been tested experimentally, what can we conclude if:

- (a) The experimental data with uncertainty lies within the predicted interval? (1 point)
- (b) The experimental data with uncertainty lies far from the predicted interval? (1 point)
- (c) How would the conclusion from (b) be different if the prediction is not known to be a core prediction? (1 point)

Answers: Dugga B 2019

1

(a) $[A], [B]$

(b) $k_1, k_2, k_y, [A](0), [B](0)$

(c) The measurement equation, $\hat{y} = k_y[A]$ shows that we can measure something that is proportional to the concentrations of A.

2

(a) $[A](0.2) = [A](0) + [\dot{A}](0)\Delta t = 4 + (-3 * 4) * 0.1 = 2.8$

(b) The concentration of A approaches 0 after a long time.

(c) The input is a set of parameters and the output is the agreement between model simulations and data

3

(a)

$$[\dot{A}] = -\frac{Vmax[A]}{(Km + [A])} - k_1[A] - k_3[A] \quad [A](0) = 1$$

$$[\dot{B}] = \frac{Vmax[A]}{(Km + [A])} \quad [B](0) = 2$$

$$[\dot{C}] = k_1[A] - k_2[C] \quad [C](0) = 0$$

$$k_1 = 3, k_2 = 1, k_3 = 4, Km = 2, Vmax = 0.1$$

(b) $\hat{y} = k_y([A] + [C])$

4

- (a) The residuals between model and data are not correlated
- (b) You conclude that the residuals are large compare to the data uncertainty and you therefore reject the null hypothesis (and the corresponding model structure)
- (c) No conclusion

5

- (a) You do not reject the model structure/hypothesis, instead the data supports your model structure.
- (b) You reject the model structure/hypothesis. You know that you need to refine the model structure to be able to have an agreement with the new data.
- (c) You cannot conclude anything, since you only reject the model with a particular set of parameters, and not the model structure/hypothesis.